(*c*) For how many days should water be let out for supplying 200 units of water to Talak tank?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—12. (*a*) and (*b*). (*i*) When Thippainakote tank is about to discharge and Talak tank can receive the supply, the whole 200 units or a portion thereof may be supplied.

(*ii*) When Thippainakote tank has a sufficient quantity of water and Talak tank can receive water, either the whole of 200 units or a portion may be let down.

(*iii*) As soon as water level in Thipapinakote tank goes above the old lifting dam, *i.e.*, 8 feet above the cill of Head Sluice, water will be let to Talak tank if it can receive the supply.

(*iv*) When there is sufficient water in Talak tank for the early agricultural operations, it would be worth while to utilise the available water in Thippainakote tank for the lands below that tank alone and the supply to the lower tank (Thalak) be postponed to be given from fresh receipt into Thippainakote tank.

(*c*) One week to 15 days.

MESSRS. B. VENKATAGIRIAPPA (Sagar Taluk) and N. BHASKARA RAO (Sorab Taluk).—

Q.—14. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) How many Incomplete Middle Schools in each taluk were converted into Middle Schools in the State during 1928-29?

(*b*) How many Incomplete Middle Schools were created in each taluk during the year 1928-29?

(*c*) How many Incomplete Middle Schools in each taluk were abolished during the year 1928-29?

(*d*) What is the amount that has lapsed out of the Government grant for the Education Department in 1928-29?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—14. (*a*) Only 6 schools in the Kadur District of which the development was sanctioned by Government towards the end of 1927-28 were converted to Middle Schools in 1928-29.

Proposals for the development of 21 of the more important incomplete middle schools, out of funds saved by the reduction of 55 of the less important or languishing incomplete middle schools which were sanctioned in the beginning of 1928-29 have been permitted by Government to be given effect to from June 1930 with certain modifications.

(*b*) None.

(*c*) Six (in Kadur District) may be taken as abolished as they were developed.

(*d*) The State grant for 1928-29 amounts to Rs. 40,06,100 and the total expenditure under State grant in 1928-29 was Rs. 40,08,000. There is no lapse under State grant but an excess expenditure of about Rs. 2,000.

Under Education Cess proper, there was a lapse of Rs. 1,76,000 against a Budget provision of Rs. 7,30,500 under the following heads:—

	Budget Provision	Amount spent	Amount lapsed
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Establishment	4,85,500	4,28,500	57,000
Buildings	1,20,000	67,000	53,000
Building grants ..	30,000	5,000	25,000
Equipment	95,000	54,000	41,000
Total ..	7,30,500	5,54,500	1,76,000

MESSRS. N. GURULINGAPPA and J. SIVARUDRAPPA (Honnali Taluk), A. S. MURIGAPPA and PATEL BASAPPA (Shimoga Taluk), BASETTAPPA (Channagiri Taluk), B. VENKATAGIRIYAPPA (Sagar Taluk) and N. BHASKARA RAO (Sorab Taluk).—

Q.—17. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) What was the amount placed at the disposal of the Director of Industries and Commerce for 1928-29, for providing loans to applicants for machinery and working capital ?

(*b*) What was the number of applications received during the year by the Director for the same ?

(*c*) What were the number and amount of loans sanctioned ? Also what are the different kinds of loans canstioned by him ?